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ABSTRACT
The purpose of the study is to identify whether a business model canvas owned by Burger King Indonesia affecting consumer buying decision. The business model canvas includes the nine-elements: customer segments, value propositions, channels, customer relationship, revenue streams, key resources, key activities, key partnership, and cost structure. The analysis focusing the four model canvas: value proposition, customer segmentation, customer relationship and channel affecting consumer buying decision. Data obtained in this study were primary data get through questionnaires, and quantitative approach was used in this study. 100 people has become sample in this study. The analysis of the data has been performed according to conceptual framework developed from critical literature review in order to serve as a tool for deriving reliable and relevant conclusions. The results of this research are value proposition, customer segments, customer relationship have significantly and positively influence on customer buying decision. While channels have not significant influence on customer buying decision.
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INTRODUCTION
Business Model Canvas: nine business model building blocks that is a strategic management and lean startup template for developing new or documenting existing business models (Osterwalder, Pigneur & al. 2010). It is a visual chart with elements describing a firm's or product's value proposition, infrastructure, customers, and finances. Business model canvas is essential in most business activities for companies. There are two parties that are actively involved in franchising activities: franchisee, and franchisor. Franchisee is a person or entity to whom the right to conduct a business is granted by the franchisor or licensor, while franchisor is the company owning or controlling the rights to grant franchises to potential franchisees (Franchoice 2012). In controlling and monitoring the business activities between two parties; the franchisee and the franchisor, certain agreement must be taken. Franchise agreement includes the legal document that governs the relationship between the two entities; franchisee and franchisor, for a specified period of time. The relationship between the two entities and countries that are engage in the form of franchising become the most crucial aspect to the successful of the business activities.
In addition, franchising activities may also create a future benefit for both the franchisor and the franchisee. The franchisor may expand their business to another potential market in different geographic area, whereas franchisee benefit of a pre-sold customer base which would ordinarily takes years to establish. A franchise increases firm’s chances of business success because the firm is associating with proven products and methods. Franchises may also offer consumers attraction of a certain level of quality and consistency.

There are some businesses in different industry that can involve running franchising activities such as food and beverage, restaurant, fashion, and also department store. Each company within the same industry or different industry may have different business models to be applied. The business model is having the most important factor in determining the successful of franchising activities.

Problem Statement

Over a decade fast food restaurant dominated by McDonald as a major player. The power of McDonald business model is emphasized in collaboration between franchisees, suppliers and employees. This business model bring McDonald’s to consistently deliver the best and unforgettable restaurant experiences to customers and be the whole part of the communities it serves. Franchisees often live in the communities they serve and are committed to making a positive impact locally – from providing good food, customer service and job opportunities, to supporting local charities and other ways of giving back.

Burger King is the second largest fast food hamburger chain in the world with majority 90%, franchised business scheme and 10% operated by company. The original HOME OF THE WHOPPER, the Burger King system operates in approximately 14,000 locations serving more than 11 million guests daily in nearly 100 countries and territories worldwide. Approximately 100 percent of BURGER KING Restaurants are owned and operated by independent franchisees, many of them family owned operations that have been in business for decades.

For years, Burger King has lost and left far away behind in competition with McDonald for capturing potential Fast Food market share globally. In order, to create a Burger King new power of competitiveness, we suggest a new improvement by analyzing current practical and theoretical method based on Business Model of both company.
Research Restriction

This research emphasize more on the four blocks of business model: Value Proposition, Customer Segments, Customer Relationship, and Value Proposition.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Business Model

There are some dimensions to identify the business models of company. In theory and practice, the term business model is used for a broad range of informal and formal descriptions to represent core aspects of a business, including purpose, business process, target customers, offerings, strategies, infrastructure, organizational structures, sourcing, trading practices, and operational processes and policies including culture (George, G and Bock AJ, 2011). A business model describes the rationale of how an organization creates, delivers, and captures value, in economic, social, cultural or other contexts (Alexander Osterwalder, Yves Pigneur, Alan Smith 2010). The process of business model construction is part of business strategy.

According to (Al-Debei, M. M., & Avison, D., 2010) value proposition, value architecture (the organizational infrastructure and technological architecture that allows the movement of products, services, and information), value finance (modeling information related to total cost of ownership, pricing methods, and revenue structure), and value network articulate the primary constructs or dimensions of business models. Business model is not requiring being the same between one business activities to another business activity. Franchising is one of the examples of business activities that need a proper business model to be settled between franchisor and franchisee. The four values of business models are given the significant contribution to the successful of franchising activities. Within the four values, both parties of franchisee and franchisor are take part.

Business Model Canvas

Modelling a business structure framework based on textual concept or visual description have an important meaning for strategic aspect and management goal. The organized, abstract, representation of process and organization block will have a better for stakeholder to understand how to run, analyse, design, plan, change and documenting a business logic. The type of business model will vary depend on the industry, organization
and the development of the technology trend. Business Model framework will encompasses of four major component : Value Proposition, Value Architecture, Value Network, and Value Finance articulate the primary constructs or dimensions of business models, (Al-Debei and Avison, 2010)

One of the most used and famous as a business framework for partitioning a model of organization and process is Business Model Canvas, developed by A. Osterwalder, Yves Pigneur, Alan Smith, and 470 practitioners from 45 country. It outline main activities or process within body of organization that will giving more insight and drive manager as decision maker into a well-planned management action and strategy. Block structure of the canvas stimulate the creativity and improvisation to put any alternative choice, decision and idea freely within each box. The Business model canvas was made easy for every person to see a simple abstraction of organization building block structure from small to big enterprise.

The above picture shows the detail of Business Model Canvas building block which consist of nine basic elements that form a business foundation organization concept. Through the idea of this concept, should be able to make someone easy to define, describe, manipulate and look into the organization, anticipate threat from the competitor. The nine block element covers most of the four main dimension of a business model, which comprise of customer, infrastructure, financial sustainability and offering. These are the simple description of the nine building block of the model:

**RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

The research method is the organization of research for data collection of research as well as information to answer the problem formulation. Quantitative approach is data which can be presented numerically or classified by some numerical value. Normally it presents in the form of numbers, and most of the time can be analyzed by using basic statistical techniques, as an example, test validity. Formost, it thoughts of as being most scientific and objective when comparing to the qualitative approach. Also, it represents that it was being counted or researched can be quantified. So it is only valid to phenomenon that can be measured and counted.
However, the quantitative approach is used in the research by the author to elaborate the relationship between the four block of business models which are: value proposition, customer relationship, customer segmentation, and channels with customer buying decision. As Figure 3.1 below, the author focusing only the four block of business models. To support this research, closed questionnaires are spreading through the Internet by using Google Form to collect the data. Type of questionnaire used was close question with five-point Likert Scale range by 1 as “strongly disagree” to 5 as “strongly agree”. Thus, to make this research become more specific to find the result, the respondents only allowed to choose the given options. The author used Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 22. While the analysis itself was using multiple linear regression, determination coefficient F-test and T-test.

**Population**

Finding out a population of the research is very important. As Sekaran & Bougie (2013: 55) stated that the meaning of population itself is described as the whole group of people, events, or things of interest that the author wanted to investigate to make inferences. For example, when the Managing Director of a FMCG firms want to know the kinds of promotion strategies adopted by Nestle, then all FMCG firms located there will be the population. For that, this research’s population would be Burger King Indonesian customers living in DKI Jakarta

**Sample**

According to Sekarang & Bougie (2013: 55) sample is explained as a subgroup of the population. It contains some numbers choosen from it. In other words, most of all, but not all, constituents of the population from the sample. For instance, when there are 165 in-patients in a hospital and 50 of them are as the survey respondents conducted by the hospital administrator to evaluate their level of satisfaction with the treatment received, then these 50 numbers will be the sample of the survey. By learning the sample, the researcher must be able to make conclusions that are generalizable to the interest’s population.

Furthermore, purposive sampling technique was used in specifying the sampling with certain criteria was considered. However, the sample size determination was based on the number of population in the city of Jakarta. As stated on the website of Badan Pusat
Statistik DKI Jakarta [https://jakarta.bps.go.id/statictable/2017/01/30/137/jumlah-penduduk-dan-rasio-jenis-kelamin-menurut-kabupaten-kota-di-provinsi-dki-jakarta-2015.html](https://jakarta.bps.go.id/statictable/2017/01/30/137/jumlah-penduduk-dan-rasio-jenis-kelamin-menurut-kabupaten-kota-di-provinsi-dki-jakarta-2015.html) that the population number of Jakarta residents per January 2017 has reached 10,177,924. However, the author would only be focusing on specific criteria of sample which is residents of Jakarta that have done any kind of buying transaction in Burger King Indonesia at least once.

**Research Testing**

a. **Reliability Testing**

According to Sekaran & Bougie (2013: 33): “Reliability is a test of how consistently a measuring instrument measures whatever concept it is measuring. The reliability of a measure is an indication of the stability and consistency with which the instrument measures the concept and helps to assess the “goodness” of a measure.

Furthermore, as stated by Zimund, Babin, Griffin, and Carr (2009: 306) in Tan (2017: 41) that “Scales with a coefficient α between 0.80 and 0.95 are considered to have very good reliability. Scale with a coefficient α between 0.60 and 0.70 indicates fair reliability, and when the coefficient α is below 0.60 the scale has poor reliability”.

b. **Validity Testing**

Meanwhile, based on Saunders, et al. (2007: 150) “Validity is concerned with whether the findings are really about what they appear to be about. Is the relationship between two variables a causal relationship? For example, in a study of an electronics factory we found that employee’s failure to look at new product displays was caused not by employee apathy but by lack opportunity (the displays were located in a part of the factory that employees rarely visited). This potential lack of validity in the conclusions was minimized by a research design that built in the opportunity for focus groups after the questionnaire results had been analyzed. However, validity applied in this study was tested over significant level of correlation. As stated by Sekaran & Bougie (2013: 33) that “validity is test of how well an instrument that is developed measures the particular concept it is intended to measure. In other words, validity is concerned with whether we measure the right concept, and reliability with stability and consistency of measurement.
**Research Tools**

a. **Multiple Linear Regression**

   Based on Higgins (2005:2) “A statistical tool that allows you to examine how multiple independent variables are related to a dependent variable. Once you have identified how these multiple variables relate to your dependent variable, you can take information about all of the independent variables and use it to make much more powerful and accurate prediction about why thing are the way they are”. However, multiple regression analysis was used as a statistical technique to determine the influence of value proposition, customer segmentation, customer relationship, and channels on customer buying decision of Burger King Indonesia. Thus the formulation is as describe below:

\[ Y = b_0 + b_1 X_1 + b_2 X_2 + b_3 X_3 + e \]


Which Where:

\[ Y = \text{Customer Buying Decision} \]
\[ X_1 = \text{Value Proposition} \]
\[ X_2 = \text{Customer Segmentation} \]
\[ X_3 = \text{Customer Relationship} \]
\[ X_4 = \text{Channels} \]
\[ b_0 = \text{Constants} \]
\[ b_1 - b_3 = \text{Regression Coefficient} \]
\[ e = \text{Error Standard} \]

Thus, measurement scale used in this study is Likert Scale. As stated by Sekaran & Bougie (2013: 32) “the Liker scale us designed to examine how strongly subjects agree or
disagree with statements on a five-point scale with the following anchors: 1= strongly disagree, 2 = disagree, 3 = neither agree or disagree, 4= agree, 5= strongly agree. The responses over a number of items tapping a particular concept or variable can be analyzed item by item, but it is also possible to calculate a total or summated score for each respondent by summing across items.”

In other words, Likert scale is a scale used to determine agreement level of respondents towards a research object. Furthermore, through the Likert scale the researcher would find out that every answer from a questionnaire’s questions has different values. Finally, in order to recognize the approval level respondents, values for each answer of this study are sorted as:

1) 5 = Strongly Agree  
2) 4 = Agree  
3) 3 = Neutral  
4) 2 = Disagree  
5) 1 = Strongly Disagree

Moreover, statement’s types provided to respondents in this study are positive statement form, thus, questions and values applied in grouping questionnaire data are 5-4-3-2-1.

b. Determination Coefficient ($R^2$)

According to Saunders, et al (2007: 442), it has been used to “to assess the strength of a relationship between one dependent and one independent variable.” More specifically, the determinant coefficient ($R^2$) is normally used to emphasis how a difference in a second variable can explain the differences in one.

Moreover, as stated by Greener (2008: 62) that “determination coefficient can be calculated by squaring the value of Pearson’s and multiplying it by 100. This produces a percentage, which describes the proportion of variation in one dependent variable between age and weight in a sample, producing a Pearson’s r value of -0.35, then the coefficient determination would be 12.25% which suggests that in our sample 12.25% of the variation in weight was accounted for by variation in age”.
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So hypothesus testing by applying the F-test, where F count and F table can be compared at the lever of $a = 0.05$ which can obtain the variance.

c. T- test

Based on Saunders, et al (2007: 442) “indepent t-test or paired t-test (often used to test for changes over time). It to test whether two groups (categories) are different”. The formula stated below:

$$t = \frac{(n-2)}{\sqrt{(1-r^2)}}$$

Source: Riset Pemasaran by Freddy Rangkuty (1997); Hardiyanti (2015)

Whereas:

$t$ = observation

$r$ = correlation coefficient

$n$ = the number of observation

Decision making previsions as stated:

1) If it count > $t$ table at the 95% of the confidence level (where $a = 0.05$) thus it reflects that the independent variable has partially influenced dependent variables (Rangkuty 1997 ; Permatasari : 45)

2) If it count < $t$ table at the 95% of the confidence level (where $a = 0.05$) thus it reflects that the independent variable has not partially influenced dependent variables (Rangkuty 1997 ; Hardiyanti 2015).

**Regression Analysis Assumption**

Regression Analysis Assumption test is a must with the purpose of performing multiple linear regression analysis, even more to Ordinary Least Square (OLS) based. However, there are many assumptions to consider such as: Normality test, Multicolinierity test, Heteroscedasicity test, Autocorrelation and Linearity test. Thus, in this research, the author will only focus on the major ones which are Normality test, Multicolinierity test, and Heteroscedasicity test.

a. Normality Test
Centralized to statistic is the concept of normality. In order data to be normal, they required to have the form of a bell curve or Gaussian, distribution, with values dropping off in a particular shapes as they decrease or even increase from the mean. To be more specific, a normal distribution comprises 68.2% of the data within 1 standard deviation from the mean (Flynn, 2005: 16). Moreover, in this study, histogram approach will be used. Independent and dependent variables data are included as normal if the histogram shift to the right nor left.

b. Multicollinierity Test

Multicollinierity (or collinearity) happens when there are high intercorrelation in some set of the predictor variables. In other words, ir occurs when mych of the same information is contained by two or more predictors. Even though the intercorrelations indicated as a correlation matrix among all pairs of predictors, it is useful in determining whether multicollinearity is a problem. But it will not forever indicate that the condition exists. Several predictors are occurring multicollinearity. They are related to some other predictors or set of predictors (Leech et al, 2005: 90)

c. Heteroscedasicity Test

Heteroscedasicity is data with unequal variability (scatter) over a set of second, predictor variables. Thus, parametric statistics to run more optimal, the data set must be the same as the variance of the data (Flynn, 2005: 16). However, having data that shows heteroscedasicity in running any king of regression analysis, can ruin the result or the least, it will give biased coefficients. Therefore, it must be checked to ensure that the data doesn’t have this condition.
RESULT

Result of Validity Testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>R Table (α = 5%)</th>
<th>Pearson Correlation</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value Proposition (X1.1)</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>.546</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value Proposition (X1.2)</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>.612</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value Proposition (X1.3)</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>.846</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value Proposition (X1.4)</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>.481</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Relationship (X2.1)</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>.718</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Relationship (X2.2)</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>.618</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Relationship (X2.3)</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>.512</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Relationship (X2.4)</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>.729</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Segmentation (X3.1)</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>.812</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Segmentation (X3.2)</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>.619</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Segmentation (X3.3)</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>.593</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel (X4.1)</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>.689</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel (X4.2)</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>.823</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel (X4.3)</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>.749</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Output of Validity

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)

Source: self-construct, process through SPSS 22.0 for Windows

In this study, validity is being tested to variable Y (customer buying decision), and variable X1, X2, X3, and X4 (value proposition, customer relationship, customer
segmentation, and channels). Based on table 4.5 above the validity test measurement on all questions are declared valid because the value of r count is greater that r table (0193), and the significance level is lower than 0.01. For that reason there is no need to do a re-test of validity and foremost, variables used in study can be used for further research activity.

**Result of Reliability Testing**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Number of Questions</th>
<th>Cronbach’s Alpha</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value Proposition (X1)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>.836</td>
<td>Reliable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Relationship (X2)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>.823</td>
<td>Reliable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Segmentation (X3)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.816</td>
<td>Reliable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel (X4)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.845</td>
<td>Reliable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Buying Decision (Y)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>.803</td>
<td>Reliable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Output Reliability

Source: self-construct, processed through SPSS 22.0 software for Windows

Based on table 4.6, result of reliability test shows that each variable has Cronbach’s Alpha of .836, .823, .816, .845, and .803. Test result of all variables presented that all variables Cronbach’s Alpha values more than (> 0.60. Meaning that questions asked and used in this study has the reliability or in other words it is reliable enough for further and feasible to be used for research analysis.

**Normality Test**

![Histogram of Normal Distribution](image)

**Figure 2. Histogram of Normal Distribution**

Source: self-construct, processed through SPSS 22.0 software for Windows
It can be seen from Figure 4.2, Histogram of normal distribution, it describes bell-shaped of the histogram. So, it can be concluded that data in this study is being distributed normally.

![Histogram of normal distribution](image)

**Figure 3. Normal PP-Plot of Regression Standardizes Residual**

Source: self-construct, processed through SPSS 22.0 software for Windows

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>One Sample Kolmogrov-Smirnov Test</th>
<th>Unstandardized Residual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal Parameters</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>.00000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std</td>
<td>.3719389183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deviation</td>
<td>.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most Extreme Differences</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute</td>
<td>.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Statistic</td>
<td>.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Test distribution is normal  
 b. Calculated from data  
 c. Lilliefors Significance Correction  
 d. This is a lower bound of the true significance  

**Table 3. One-Sample Kolmogrov-Smirnov Test**

Source: self-construct, processed through SPSS 22.0 software for Windows.

It can be seen from figure above, P Plot of Regression Standardized Residual, that the pattern and shape of diagonal line and also as elaborated on figure 4.3, Kolmogrov-Smirnov test presented that KSZ value is 0.052 an asym sig 0.200 which is more than 0.05. Thus, can be concluded that data of the study is being distributed normally.
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T-Test
T test is mostly used to test the significance level of variable X to Y partially. Sample that are used in this study are 100 respondents, which where N = 100 and sig.= 0.005. So the t table is counted as 1.983

Based on table 4.5, result of each variable is obtained by using computarized program (SPSS version 22.0) as follows

1. X1 (Value Proposition)
   T count = 4.762, where T count > T table which means 4.762 >1.983 and the significant level in 0.000 which shows that the significant level < 0.05, then the value proposition significantly and positively be as strong variable that influence customer buying decision variable

2. X2 (Customer Relationship)
   T count = 3.781, where T count > T table which means 3.781 >1.983 and the significant level in 0.000 which shows that the significant level < 0.05, then the customer relationship significantly and positively be as strong variable that influence customer buying decision variable

3. X3 (Customer Segmentation)
   T count = 4.918, where T count > T table which means 4.918 >1.983 and the significant level in 0.000 which shows that the significant level < 0.05, then the customer segmentation significantly and positively be as strong variable that influence customer buying decision variable

4. X4 (Channel)
   T count = 1.672, where T count > T table which means 1.672 <1.983 and the significant level in 0.000 which shows that the significant level < 0.05, then the channel has no significantly influence on customer buying decision variable.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Unstandardized Coefficients</th>
<th>Standardized Coefficient</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>-.172</td>
<td>-.627</td>
<td>.413</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value Proposition</td>
<td>.367</td>
<td>.347</td>
<td>4.762</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Relationship</td>
<td>.256</td>
<td>.267</td>
<td>3.781</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Segmentation</td>
<td>.382</td>
<td>.467</td>
<td>4.918</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel</td>
<td>.218</td>
<td>.219</td>
<td>1.672</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. T.test

Source: self-constructed, processed through SPSS 22.00 software for Windows

Furthermore, as shown in the above data, value proposition, customer segmentation, customer relationship, partially give positive effects to customer buying decision in Burger King Indonesia, and only channel that have significant relationship to customer buying decision. Moreover, variable that has biggest influence to customer buying decision is Value Proposition and Customer Segmentation which where T count > T table (4.762 > 1.983) and (4.918 > 1.983).

**Coefficient of Correlation and Determination ($R^2$)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Summary $^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Predictors: (Constant), Value Proposition, Customer Relationship, Customer,
b. Dependent Variable : Customer Buying Decision

Based on table above, can be seen that the result of data processing by using a computarized program (SPSS, ver. 22.0) shows the value of R which is 0.840, meaning that the correlation between dependent and independent variable is 84%. It can be concluded that customer buying decision has strong correlation with value proposition, customer relationship, customer segmentation, channel, and the rest of 16% is influenced by other variables.
**Interpretation of Result**

1. **X1 (Value Proposition)**
   
   \[ T \text{ count} = 4.762, \text{ where } T \text{ count} > T \text{ table which means } 4.762 > 1.983 \text{ and the significant level in } 0.000 \text{ which shows that the significant level } < 0.05, \text{ then the value proposition significantly and positively be as strong variable that influence customer buying decision variable.} \]

2. **X2 (Customer Relationship)**
   
   \[ T \text{ count} = 3.781, \text{ where } T \text{ count} > T \text{ table which means } 3.781 > 1.983 \text{ and the significant level in } 0.000 \text{ which shows that the significant level } < 0.05, \text{ then the customer relationship significantly and positively be as strong variable that influence customer buying decision variable.} \]

3. **X3 (Customer Segmentation)**
   
   \[ T \text{ count} = 4.918, \text{ where } T \text{ count} > T \text{ table which means } 4.918 > 1.983 \text{ and the significant level in } 0.000 \text{ which shows that the significant level } < 0.05, \text{ then the customer segmentation significantly and positively be as strong variable that influence customer buying decision variable.} \]

4. **X4 (Channel)**
   
   \[ T \text{ count} = 1.672, \text{ where } T \text{ count} > T \text{ table which means } 1.672 < 1.983 \text{ and the significant level in } 0.000 \text{ which shows that the significant level } < 0.05, \text{ then the channel has no significantly influence on customer buying decision variable.} \]

**Conclusion**

Based on the research of Business Models of Franchise Activities for Burger King in competing with McDonald, can be concluded:

1. **Value Proposition.**

   Value Propositions is finding the answer and trying to solve customer problem, giving the most satisfactory service to the customers. The answer to solve customer problems is giving the quality of product offered with affordable price charged. The survey content under the Fast Food Brand Preferences, Burger King is at sixth position while McDonald at second. It is can be seen from the preferences that consumption in Indonesia from Class B is higher than Class A. People with income 3.5 million – 8.5 million are categorized as Class B, and income above 8.5 million
and above are at Class A. From the data, it is shown that price provided will be so much matter for people in Indonesia. Comparing the price of Burger King and McDonald, McDonald is charging a lower price than Burger King. Thus McDonalds is at second popular for fast food preferences.

Moreover, the survey content on Fast Food Restaurant Product and Service Performance is divided into 5 elements: Product, Price, Place, Process. In terms of Products, hygienic food is become the most options to be choosed for people in Indonesia. In terms of price, affordable price is the most matters rather than any other promotion. Promotion for fast food restaurant with many programmes for loyal customers become the most attractie promotion to be used by any fast food restaurants. Moreover, easy to find for fast food restaurant is also another matter in terms of places. The other service performance is the process required to prepare the food, which is a quick food serving is the highest result.

From the finding of the research, value proposition significantly and positively be as strong variable that influence customer buying decision variable.

2. Customer Relationship

The relationship between customer and seller can be seen on how seller giving promotion to customers. From the data, promotion for fast food restaurant with many programmes for loyal customers become the most attractie promotion to be used by any fast food restaurants. Moreover, from the finding of the research, customer relationship significantly and positively be as strong variable that influence customer buying decision variable.

3. Customer Segments

The target segmentation should be clearly defined to answer on customers need. From the survey content under the Fast Food Consumption Habit in Indonesia, price offered is the first important since the most largest consumption number in Indonesia are between middle to low level. The most popular consideration of choosing Fast Food Brand is good taste with 60% and affordable price with 54.5%. The level of agreement for tasteful is also having the highest value compare to other level of agreement. The spending amount of fast food brand in Indonesia is falling between 20.001 – 60.000, whereas 40.001 – 60.000 is the highest. The price offered should be at the range between 20.001 – 60.000. Moreover, from the finding of the research,
customer segments significantly and positively be as strong variable that influence customer buying decision variable.

4. Channels

Channels are designed to communicate between companies as a seller with customers and reach them to get the value proposition. To have a good communication between customers and sellers, sellers should understand what customer wants and need. The channels might be tasteful food, have many stores, various dishes, affordable price, favourite of friends, have many promotions, nice /oreye-catching store decoration. All those elements are come up at the survey content under the brand imagery with McDonald is the top-two of Brand Imagery Fast Food Brand Imagery, while Burger King not even included in top-five restaurant with brand imagery. From the finding of the research, channel have not significantly and positively influence on customer buying decision variable.

Recommendation

1. Burger King should improve the unaided brand awareness as a fast food restaurant in Indonesia by adjusting to what people like in Indonesia, in terms of taste, price, place, and promotion.

2. Burger King should reevaluate the target market class in Indonesia, since the highest consumption level in Indonesia are between middle and low class for fast food restaurant.

3. Burger King should learn the local taste so that they can adjust their taste based on local taste preferences, because the local consumption habits depends on good taste offered by restaurants. The menu offered also have to be adjusted with local taste preferences.

4. To improve the product and service performance for Burger King, they should adjust their price based on the highest local consumption at the middle to low level. Adding up the number of stores also take under consideration for Burger King to grab more loyal customers in consuming Burger King as their meals.
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